April 5, 2023

	Pa
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTR	ICT OF OKLAHOMA
RANDY LUNDY, Plaintiff,))
-vs-) No. CIV-22-699-F
HL MOTOR GROUP, INC., ET AL., Defendants.)))
FARMERS MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF OKARCHE, Plaintiff,)))
-vs-)
HL MOTOR GROUP, INC., ET AL. Defendants.))
VIDEOTAPED/TELECONFERENCE DEPO	SITION OF ALEXANDER SA
TAKEN ON BEH	ALF OF
FARMERS MUTUAL FIRE INSURA	NCE COMPANY OF OKARCHE
ON APRIL 5	, 2023
REPORTED BY: MARTA MA	TTINGLY, CSR, RMR

D&R REPORTING & VIDEO, INC. (800)771-1500 / depo@drreporting.com

```
Page 19
 1
     questions within topic Number 1?
 2
          Α
               I think I am.
               All right. And what about Number 2, Mr.
 3
          0
 4
     Milanovic's driving record prior to the subject
     accident, do you have some knowledge that you can share
 5
     with us regarding that topic?
 6
          Α
               Yes.
 8
          0
               3, "Any investigation conducted by this
 9
     Defendant to determine the amount of money paid by
     Plaintiff to its insured, Earlene Carr under the
10
     insurance policy issued to Ms. Carr by FMFICO," that's
11
12
     -- those are the initials of my client, "as a result of
13
     the subject accident and loss." Do you have any
14
     knowledge or information to provide in connection with
15
     that topic?
16
          Α
               No.
17
          Q
               You don't? Okay.
18
                    MR. PIGNATO: Let me ask, Michael, we
19
     have discussed off the record at the last deposition, at
     least my understanding of that discussion was, that
20
     there was not going to be any kind of objection asserted
21
22
     to the amount -- the damages that my client is seeking
23
     in this lawsuit. I think the same applied to the Lundy
24
     lawsuit, also. Can you confirm or clarify that?
25
                    MR. FRANZ: I will confirm that, yes.
```

```
Page 49
 1
     between impairment, when driver is impaired by whatever
 2
     reason is, by fatigue or by dehydration, to the extent
     that he is not able to control the vehicle and the
 3
 4
     reason of that as mild dehydration. So I don't
 5
     understand what it means. Give me an objective
 6
     definition of -- definition of mild dehydration.
               I can't -- I can't give you a medical
 8
     definition. But I am simply -- the purpose of my
     questions today is just to find out if you are aware of
 9
     the concept, that dehydration leads to impairment, leads
10
     to a driver not being his physical and mental best? Are
11
     you aware of it or not?
12
13
               As I previously mentioned, I would agree that
          Α
     extreme dehydration, to the extreme levels, to the
14
15
     extreme levels, would lead to the impairment, which
16
     would affect the ability to operate a commercial motor
     vehicle.
17
18
               All right. And do you agree that an extreme
19
     level of dehydration would be a preventable circumstance
20
     by the driver?
21
          Α
               I would agree.
22
               If a person had dehydration to the extent that
23
     they lost consciousness, you would agree that would be
24
     preventable by the truck driver?
25
          Α
               I would agree.
```

April 5, 2023

Page 50 1 Q All right. So does HL provide any new hire, 2 long-haul, truck drivers any training regarding proper hydration? 3 Α That is not part of our training program. Does HL have any policies in place to prevent 5 0 6 incidents of driver dehydration? It's not of our policies. Α So, then, is it HL's position that proper 8 Q hydration for its drivers is solely the responsibility 9 10 of the truck driver? It is obviously a responsibility of the truck 11 Α But, again, what HL does, it enforces hours of 12 13 service policy, requiring drivers to take rest breaks, specifically for theirs needs of drinking water, eating 14 15 properly, and other issues relating to their health and 16 well-being. 17 Q All right. So as long as the driver stops at 18 least once every eight hours, then the responsibility to 19 stay hydrated rests solely with the driver? 20 Α Responsibility stays, yes, with the truck 21 driver, yes. 22 And I think you have already said this, but 0 23 just to be clear, do you agree that dehydration is 24 100 percent avoidable? 25 Α Dehydration is 100 percent avoidable, yes.

```
Page 51
 1
               And you also would agree a driver is
          Q
 2
     responsible for keeping himself physically and mentally
     alert while driving; agree?
 3
          Α
               Can you repeat it again?
 4
               Sure. A driver is responsible for keeping
 5
          Q
 6
     himself physically and mentally alert while driving?
               Absolutely.
          Α
 8
          Q
               All right. A driver is responsible for
     ensuring that he or she is properly hydrated at all
 9
     times to avoid any negative effects of dehydration;
10
     agree?
11
12
               Can you repeat again?
          Α
13
               Sure. A driver is responsible for ensuring
          Q
     that he or she is properly hydrated at all times to
14
15
     avoid any negative health consequences of the
16
     dehydration, that might impair the ability to drive?
17
          Α
               Agree.
               And, of course, a driver is responsible for
18
19
     being aware of the signs of fatigue or dizziness or
     weakness or any other symptom that could impair one's
20
21
     ability to operate a 55,000-pound motor vehicle at
22
     seventy-five miles per hour safely; agree?
23
          Α
               Agree.
24
               And in this context, I want you to assume that
25
     Mr. Milanovic was dehydrated and that's why, as you say,
```

```
Page 52
 1
     he lost consciousness. All right?
 2
          Α
               Not all right.
 3
          0
               Say again?
 4
          Α
               Not all right. You want me to assume.
                                                        Ι
 5
     don't assume.
 6
               Well, you do assume, and you've testified
 7
     previously to Mr. Pignato, you do assume that your
 8
     driver lost consciousness before he left the roadway;
 9
     correct?
10
          Α
               Correct.
11
               All right. So I want you to assume for the
          Q
12
     moment that your defense in this case, whether you know
13
     it or not, is that your driver was dehydrated and that's
14
     why he lost consciousness. Okay? Will you make that
15
     assumption with me for purposes of these questions?
               So you just want me to hypothetically assume?
16
          Α
17
          Q
               I want you to assume my hypothetical, which
18
     is, your driver lost consciousness because he was
19
     dehydrated, yes. Will you assume that for purposes of
20
     my question?
               For the purposes of -- just for the sake of
21
          Α
22
     the question, I can hypothetically assume it.
23
               So you would agree, if those were the facts,
          Q
24
     that would be a self-induced condition, that is,
25
     dehydration?
```

```
Page 53
 1
          Α
               That would be self-induced, sorry, what?
 2
               The condition, the medical condition here, of
          Q
     dehydration, would be self-induced; agree?
 3
 4
          Α
               Medical condition. So dehydration as a
     medical condition will be self-induced by the driver;
 5
 6
     right?
               That's my question to you. If the driver
          0
     becomes dehydrated and that's the reason he lost
 8
     consciousness, that is a self-induced medical condition;
 9
     agree?
10
11
          Α
               Agree.
12
               Entirely preventable by the driver?
          0
13
               Absolutely preventable.
          Α
14
               All right. Now, I want you to also assume
          0
15
     that the driver, your driver, has testified in this case
16
     that one of the problems he was having while driving on
     this hot, summer day through Missouri and Oklahoma in
17
     ninety plus degree temperatures is that the
18
19
     air-conditioning unit of his truck was not functioning
20
     properly. Okay? Will you make that assumption with me?
21
          Α
               Okay. Let's make an assumption.
22
               Okay. You agree with me, that would also be a
23
     preventable factor; right, sir?
24
               In terms of repair of conditioner, yes.
          Α
25
               So if a driver is feeling fatigued or dizzy
          Q
```

```
Page 54
     due to dehydration, brought about by the absence of
 1
 2
     fluids and/or the rising temperatures in his truck,
     those are preventable factors; correct?
 3
 4
          Α
               Correct.
 5
               All right. A driver is responsible for
          0
 6
     pulling over immediately on any signs or symptoms of
     dehydration or other health factors that influence his
 8
     ability to drive the truck safely; right?
 9
          Α
               No.
               He is not responsible for pulling over
10
          Q
     immediately among signs or symptoms that he can't
11
12
     properly operate the truck?
13
               Not necessarily immediately.
          Α
14
               Well, as soon as he can do so safely.
          Q
15
          Α
               As soon as he can do it safely.
16
               All right. You wouldn't want him slamming on
          Q
17
     the brakes in the middle of the highway; right?
18
          Α
               Right.
19
               If he couldn't get adequately off the roadway,
     and therefore became a hazard on the side of the road,
20
21
     you wouldn't want that; right?
22
          Α
               Right.
23
               But as soon as a truck driver could get to an
          Q
24
     exit, if he's having signs or symptoms of heat or other
25
     health issues that prevent him from operating the truck
```

April 5, 2023

Page 55 safely, you would expect him to pull over as soon as it 1 2 was safe to do so? 3 Α Absolutely. If a driver fails to do that, puts himself in 4 Q a state of dehydration by not consuming sufficient 5 6 fluids, not getting enough minerals, maybe operating a truck that's too hot, and succumbs to this condition and 8 a collision results, you would agree that collision is entirely that driver's fault? 9 10 I wouldn't. Α 11 You wouldn't agree with that? Q 12 I wouldn't agree with it. Α 13 So what part of it do you disagree with? Q Not necessarily dehydration would play such a 14 Α 15 role, that it would affect his ability to operate the 16 motor vehicle to such extent that he wouldn't be able to 17 operate safely. 18 So you are saying you don't -- you don't know 19 if that occurred here? As I previously said, your assumption implies 20 Α that any sign, any sign. I, again, am pretty sure that 21 22 only extreme level dehydration would lead to the 23 condition where he is not able to operate the vehicle 24 safelv. 25 Let's see if you and I can agree on something.

April 5, 2023

Page 56 1 Does it seem plausible or implausible to you that a 2 person would drive a good part of a hot August day in 3 Missouri and Oklahoma, while suffering from such severe 4 dehydration, that he loses consciousness and runs off 5 the road, yet, he wouldn't have any symptoms at all before the moment he lost consciousness? Does that seem 6 7 plausible or implausible? 8 Α You know, speaking about some hypothetical --9 Plausible or implausible, sir? 0 10 Can I please answer your question? Α 11 Q You can answer the question, then you can 12 elaborate all you would like. Does that seem plausible 13 or implausible? 14 Α Sir, would you allow me to answer the 15 question? I will answer the question. 16 I would ask that you do so. Is it plausible Q 17 or implausible? Then you can explain your answer. 18 My explanation is that, specifically in case 19 of Ognjen Milanovic, looking at his logbook, took a restroom break three hours before the accident, it's 20 not -- it's not possible in his situation, because he 21 22 had three hours before that took a rest break of 23 forty-five minutes, as I see on his logbook. 24 And for me, three hours of driving is not 25 enough to get to such a level of dehydration, that he

```
Page 57
 1
     would be able to lose control, lose consciousness, lose
 2
     control of his vehicle.
 3
               Maybe in some other case it's plausible, with
 4
     some other guy, who probably, possibly drove without the
     rest break, not three hours, but let's say, I don't
 5
 6
     know, fifteen hours.
               But in his case, in his specific case, where
 8
     we know exactly that he took a break three hours before
     the accident, that is not plaus -- it's not possible.
 9
               All right. I think we might be saying the
10
          Q
11
     same thing, but let me try to make sure about that
12
     before I move on. You are saying, that because this man
13
     took a 45-minute break just three hours earlier, you
     believe it is not plausible that he succumbed to
14
15
     dehydration in an instant and lost consciousness and ran
16
     off the road without experiencing any other symptoms
17
     first?
             Do you agree with that?
18
               Sir, I -- again, I am not medical
          Α
19
     professional.
20
               I accept that. I am asking for --
          Q
21
               I don't know whether it happens in an instant.
          Α
22
     I have no idea how it happens. Maybe it takes a few
23
     minutes.
               I don't know. So if you could rephrase your
24
     question, then I would be able to properly answer it.
25
          Q
               I am not sure I can. You are relying on the
```

```
Page 58
     fact that this gentleman took a three-hour break -- I'm
 1
 2
     sorry, a 45-minute break three hours before; right?
 3
               Yes. He took a rest, yeah.
               And because of that, you do not believe that
          Q
     he succumbed to dehydration, to the extent that he lost
 5
 6
     consciousness and ran off the roadway; right?
               I don't believe that he succumbed to,
 8
     specifically to the reason of dehydration.
 9
          Q
               Okay.
               Because of dehydration.
10
          Α
          Q
               You believe that makes no practical sense to
11
12
     you?
13
               Correct.
          Α
14
               As the safety manager and/or vice-president of
15
     safety for this company for some eight plus years;
16
     right?
17
               I think practically he took a rest break of
18
     forty-five minutes, which is more than enough for him to
19
     drink water, eat properly. And I don't believe that he
20
     taking rest -- that rest break, wouldn't be able to do
21
     it.
22
               Would common sense, and I know you are not a
          Q
     medical doctor, but would common sense, if dehydration
23
24
     was the medical condition that caused him to lose
25
     consciousness, if that's true, okay, do you believe, in
```

April 5, 2023

Page 59 1 your experience in life and as the safety manager for 2 this company, that it's possible for a person to succumb to dehydration at such a fast rate, that the very first 3 4 sign of a problem was the loss of consciousness? 5 Sir, for all of my experience, and I think Α 6 I've had a relatively large experience with a large number of accidents, I never, ever observed weakness 8 that dehydration played any such role. 9 In causing a driver to lose consciousness and 10 have a collision? 11 Α Yes. 12 All right. And you make a good point. 13 mean, you've probably investigated hundreds of 14 accidents, a thousand or more? 15 Α I don't know the exact number. But from my 16 experience, I knew cases where drivers were able to 17 travel with not working AC and they were able to travel large distances. And it didn't lead to the loss of --18 19 their ability to operate commercial vehicle was not impaired to such -- to such a level, where they lost 20 consciousness and lost control of their vehicle. 21 22 0 Well, you make me pause and ask this, then. 23 You are telling me that you have seen situations where 24 drivers operate on hot days with not fully functioning 25 air-conditioning units.

```
Page 61
     summer months, particularly in the south, can contribute
 1
     to drivers overheating, becoming dehydrated, fatigued,
 2
 3
     et cetera; correct?
          Α
               Correct.
 4
               All right. So is HL responsible for ensuring
 5
          0
 6
     that the AC units in its big rigs are functioning
 7
     properly?
 8
          Α
               Correct.
               And at the same time is the driver responsible
 9
          0
     for reporting any problems with the AC unit in the truck
10
     he or she is driving?
11
12
               Absolutely correct.
          Α
13
               All right. Is it -- is it acceptable to HL,
          Q
     that a driver from northern climates, on his very first
14
15
     trip for HL into the south in the hot summer months,
16
     drove ten plus hours on a ninety plus degree day with a
17
     poorly performing air-conditioning unit in his truck and
18
     did not report that to the company?
19
                      That's -- that's not acceptable.
20
     driver always -- any driver is supposed to report
21
     anything to the company right away.
22
          0
               And you have investigated this accident
23
     thoroughly. Have you come across any evidence at all
24
     that your driver, Mr. Milanovic, reported to the company
25
     before, during, or after his trip, that the
```

```
Page 62
 1
     air-conditioning unit was performing poorly?
 2
          Α
               He had never reported any of that.
                      Would it be acceptable, if that same
 3
          0
               Okav.
 4
     driver in those same circumstances, driving ten plus
     hours in the heat, becomes dehydrated, loses
 5
 6
     consciousness, and drives off the road into family
     residences, is that acceptable to HL?
 8
          Α
               Absolutely not acceptable.
 9
          0
               All right.
10
               I'm sorry, my camera.
          Α
11
               And do you agree, if those are the facts, the
          Q
12
     accident I just described, you would agree with me that
13
     accident would be entirely preventable?
14
               Would I agree, this vehicle accident would be
          Α
15
     preventable?
16
          Q
                     If the facts are as I laid out to you.
     And I am really focusing on two facts. You have got a
17
     poorly performing air-conditioning unit and a driver who
18
19
     becomes dehydrated to the point of losing consciousness.
     Those two factors are both entirely preventable, aren't
20
     they, sir?
21
22
               So he -- if, again, we assume that he got
23
     dehydrated to the point of losing consciousness, if.
24
               Yes. And, in part, his dehydration was
25
     brought about by a poorly functioning air conditioner,
```